

## LABOUR DEPARTMENT

## Orders

The 4th February, 1983

**No. ID/YMN/192/82/4438.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ruld Ram and the management of M/s. Anil Udyog, Chhachhrauli (Jagadhri), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing :

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5314-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ruld Ram was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

**No. ID/YMN/146/82/4444.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Balbir Singh and the management of M/s. Anil Udyog, Chhachhrauli (Jagadhri), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing :

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5314-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Balbir Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

**No. ID/YMN/194/82/4450.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jai Singh and the management of M/s. Anil Udyog, Chhachhrauli (Jagadhri), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing :

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5314-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Jai Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

**No. ID/YMN/189/82/4456.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Raj Kumar and the management of M/s. Anil Udyog, Chhachhrauli (Jagadhri), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing :

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5314-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raj Kumar was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?